

SEMESTER: SUMMER 2007

GEORGE MASON UNIVERSITY

August 22, 2007

## STUDENT INSTRUCTIONAL RATING SYSTEM REPORT

42005110

STUDENTS ENROLLED IN THIS CLASS: 29 COMPLETED FORMS: 26

## INSTRUCTOR INFORMATION

NAME: Allen, Robert F  
 COLLEGE: College of Science  
 DEPT: Mathematics  
 COURSE: MATH 125  
 SECTION: B02

## RESPONSE KEY

S. . . . STRONGLY AGREE. . . (5)  
 . . . . . (4)  
 . . . . . (3)  
 . . . . . (2)  
 D. . . STRONGLY DISAGREE. . . (1)  
 NA . . . NOT APPLICABLE . . . (6)

## DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION

	1	2	3	4	5
Am't of effort put into the course: 1=very low 5=very high	0	1	11	6	8
Times absent from cls: 1=0-1 2=2-3 3=4-5 4=6-7 5=8 or more	22	2	0	0	1
Expected Grades: 1=F 2=D 3=C 4=B 5=A	0	0	6	13	7
Class Level: 1=Fresh 2=Soph 3=Jr 4=Sr 5=Grad	0	4	11	7	3
Ovr'll GPA: 1=below 2.0 2=2-2.5 3=2.51-3.0 4=3.01-3.5 5=3.51-4	0	3	4	11	7
Course is: 5=required 4=elective 3=gen ed 2=Other	1	1	1	23	0

ITEMS	PERCENTAGES						AREA	MEAN	MEDIAN	S.D	# Resp.
	(1) D	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5) S	(6) NA					
1. Course re'mts were clearly stated in the syllabus	0.0 0.7 0.9 0.6	0.0 1.9 1.6 1.4	0.0 6.5 5.9 4.8	19.2 20.7 22.1 19.7	80.8 70.1 69.1 73.3	0.0 0.0 0.4 0.2	CLASS DEPT/PROGRAM COL/SCHL/INST UNIVERSITY	4.81 4.58 4.57 4.64	5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0	0.40 0.76 0.75 0.70	26 566 2,647 15,339
2. The course was well organized	0.0 1.8 1.7 1.3	0.0 4.3 3.5 2.3	7.7 7.5 11.0 8.2	23.1 26.9 25.0 22.5	69.2 59.5 58.8 65.7	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.1	CLASS DEPT/PROGRAM COL/SCHL/INST UNIVERSITY	4.62 4.38 4.36 4.49	5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0	0.63 0.93 0.93 0.84	26 561 2,617 15,240
3. The instructor explained the material clearly	0.0 2.7 3.3 1.7	0.0 6.9 5.9 3.4	11.5 11.7 14.2 10.0	19.2 20.4 23.1 23.6	69.2 58.3 53.5 61.3	0.0 0.0 0.1 0.1	CLASS DEPT/PROGRAM COL/SCHL/INST UNIVERSITY	4.58 4.25 4.18 4.39	5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0	0.69 1.08 1.09 0.92	26 563 2,649 15,330
4. Comments and suggestions on returned material helpfu	0.0 3.7 3.3 1.9	0.0 5.5 5.8 3.9	7.7 13.8 15.5 10.2	26.9 23.9 21.6 20.7	65.4 48.8 46.5 56.2	0.0 4.2 7.2 7.1	CLASS DEPT/PROGRAM COL/SCHL/INST UNIVERSITY	4.58 4.13 4.10 4.35	5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0	0.63 1.10 1.11 0.97	26 565 2,633 15,246
5. The instructor showed respect for students	0.0 1.2 1.2 1.0	0.0 1.6 1.9 1.4	7.7 5.9 6.2 4.5	15.4 21.0 18.1 14.8	76.9 70.3 72.6 78.3	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.1	CLASS DEPT/PROGRAM COL/SCHL/INST UNIVERSITY	4.69 4.57 4.59 4.68	5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0	0.63 0.78 0.79 0.71	26 562 2,616 15,173
6. The instructor was acces-sible in person or electron	0.0 1.4 1.2 0.7	0.0 3.2 2.6 1.5	0.0 4.8 7.7 5.4	15.4 19.6 20.5 17.6	84.6 67.7 63.9 72.2	0.0 3.2 3.9 2.5	CLASS DEPT/PROGRAM COL/SCHL/INST UNIVERSITY	4.85 4.54 4.49 4.63	5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0	0.35 0.85 0.85 0.73	26 560 2,607 15,117
7. The instructor followed the stated crs. grading p'l	0.0 0.7 0.9 0.6	0.0 1.4 1.4 0.8	0.0 5.3 6.7 4.0	8.0 17.3 19.5 17.3	92.0 74.3 70.5 74.3	0.0 0.9 1.0 3.0	CLASS DEPT/PROGRAM COL/SCHL/INST UNIVERSITY	4.92 4.65 4.59 4.69	5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0	0.29 0.71 0.76 0.65	25 561 2,615 15,249
8. The exams reflected what was covered in the course	0.0 1.1 1.9 1.0	0.0 1.8 3.9 2.1	0.0 6.6 9.3 5.5	23.1 18.4 20.3 16.7	76.9 71.5 59.5 57.3	0.0 0.7 5.2 17.4	CLASS DEPT/PROGRAM COL/SCHL/INST UNIVERSITY	4.77 4.59 4.39 4.54	5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0	0.45 0.78 0.96 0.83	26 564 2,616 15,052
9. The as'mnts helped me learn the material	0.0 2.1 2.7 1.6	0.0 4.1 4.7 2.8	15.4 8.6 11.2 8.6	23.1 24.0 21.7 22.4	61.5 56.4 51.1 61.1	0.0 4.8 8.6 3.5	CLASS DEPT/PROGRAM COL/SCHL/INST UNIVERSITY	4.46 4.35 4.25 4.44	5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0	0.75 0.97 1.05 0.89	26 559 2,621 15,222
10. Readings helped me understa the course topic	0.0 2.7 2.4 1.7	12.0 5.7 4.4 3.1	32.0 12.5 13.2 11.0	16.0 24.7 25.1 24.1	36.0 43.2 48.3 56.8	4.0 11.1 6.6 3.3	CLASS DEPT/PROGRAM COL/SCHL/INST UNIVERSITY	3.79 4.13 4.20 4.36	4.0 4.0 5.0 5.0	1.10 1.07 1.02 0.93	25 558 2,592 15,122

SEMESTER: SUMMER 2007

## GEORGE MASON UNIVERSITY

August 22, 2007

## STUDENT INSTRUCTIONAL RATING SYSTEM REPORT

42005110

STUDENTS ENROLLED IN THIS CLASS: 29 COMPLETED FORMS: 26

## INSTRUCTOR INFORMATION

## RESPONSE KEY

NAME: Allen, Robert F  
 COLLEGE: College of Science  
 DEPT: Mathematics  
 COURSE: MATH 125  
 SECTION: B02

S. . . . STRONGLY AGREE. . . (5)  
 . . . . . (4)  
 . . . . . (3)  
 . . . . . (2)  
 D. . . STRONGLY DISAGREE. . . (1)  
 NA . . . NOT APPLICABLE . . . (6)

ITEMS	PERCENTAGES						AREA	MEAN	MEDIAN	S.D	# Resp.
	(1) D	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5) S	(6) NA					
11. Ass'mnts and exams were returned properly	0.0	0.0	3.8	15.4	80.8	0.0	CLASS	4.77	5.0	0.53	26
	0.4	1.2	4.4	17.6	75.6	0.7	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.68	5.0	0.65	562
	2.4	2.2	7.7	19.2	66.8	1.7	COL/SCHL/INST	4.48	5.0	0.91	2,600
	1.6	2.1	6.0	18.4	66.9	5.0	UNIVERSITY	4.55	5.0	0.84	15,046
12. The ins'tr covered the im'p aspect of the course	0.0	0.0	3.8	11.5	84.6	0.0	CLASS	4.81	5.0	0.49	26
	1.2	2.5	5.3	21.0	69.6	0.4	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.56	5.0	0.81	566
	1.2	2.3	7.1	23.1	65.7	0.5	COL/SCHL/INST	4.51	5.0	0.82	2,631
	0.7	1.5	5.4	20.8	71.2	0.3	UNIVERSITY	4.61	5.0	0.73	15,297
13. The ins'tr made the class intellectually stimulating	0.0	0.0	7.7	7.7	84.6	0.0	CLASS	4.77	5.0	0.60	26
	3.2	4.8	13.3	21.4	57.2	0.2	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.25	5.0	1.06	565
	4.0	5.6	13.1	24.2	52.9	0.2	COL/SCHL/INST	4.16	5.0	1.11	2,626
	2.3	3.8	9.6	21.7	62.5	0.3	UNIVERSITY	4.39	5.0	0.96	15,191
14. The ins'tr encouraged the students to be involved	0.0	0.0	11.5	7.7	76.9	3.8	CLASS	4.68	5.0	0.68	26
	3.0	5.7	14.7	20.9	53.9	1.8	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.19	5.0	1.08	564
	3.1	5.4	13.9	22.5	53.2	2.0	COL/SCHL/INST	4.20	5.0	1.07	2,606
	1.6	2.8	8.5	18.9	67.4	0.9	UNIVERSITY	4.49	5.0	0.89	15,146

## OVERALL RATING OF INSTRUCTION AND COURSE

ITEMS	PERCENTAGES						AREA	MEAN	MEDIAN	S.D	# Resp.
	(1) POOR	(2)	(3) OK	(4)	(5) EX'L	(6) NA					
15. My overall rating of the teaching	0.0	0.0	8.0	8.0	84.0	0.0	CLASS	4.76	5.0	0.61	25
	2.1	4.8	10.2	21.5	61.4	0.0	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.35	5.0	0.99	559
	2.6	4.6	15.1	25.0	52.8	0.0	COL/SCHL/INST	4.21	5.0	1.03	2,597
	1.5	2.6	10.5	22.6	62.8	0.0	UNIVERSITY	4.42	5.0	0.89	15,086
16. My overall rating of this course	0.0	0.0	16.0	36.0	48.0	0.0	CLASS	4.32	4.0	0.74	25
	2.7	3.1	20.3	31.5	42.4	0.0	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.08	4.0	1.00	552
	3.1	5.4	21.6	31.1	38.9	0.0	COL/SCHL/INST	3.97	4.0	1.05	2,571
	1.9	3.6	15.1	27.9	51.6	0.0	UNIVERSITY	4.24	5.0	0.96	14,899

Median is the middlemost of the ranked scores. S.D (Standard Deviation) is a measure of the dispersion of scores from the mean. For example, if all students agree that Prof. X is excellently prepared, the mean would be 5, and the standard deviation would be 0. NA responses and non-respondents are represented in percentages, but not in other calculations.